**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Alumini, M.Sc. Zoology, 2017-2018**

**Analysis of feedbacks of students about course curriculum**

In part I students have given the feedback about different aspects of course curriculum such as

1. The course objectives and outcomes were clearly defined/identified
2. Length: Course material were of appropriate length
3. Relevance: Course material were relevant
4. Quality: Course material were of high quality and up to date
5. Organization: Material was well organized
6. The course provides useful inputs
7. The course provides focus on skill development/ employability/ entrepreneurship
8. The course updates understanding in this fieldas per their satisfaction in 4 levels:
9. Average
10. Good
11. Excellent
12. Outstanding

The students graded the about course curriculum as follows:

Table 1: Subject wise Frequencies of grades given by students for course curriculum

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **GRADE** | **SUB1** | **SUB2** | **SUB3** | **SUB4** | **SUB5** |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 6 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| E | 11 | 13 | 15 | 9 | 3 |
| O | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 3 |

Table2: Subject wise percentage of grades given by students for course curriculum

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **GRADE** | **SUB1** | **SUB2** | **SUB3** | **SUB4** | **SUB5** |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 29 | 19 | 14 | 29 | 0 |
| E | 52 | 62 | 71 | 43 | 14 |
| O | 19 | 19 | 14 | 29 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 14 |

Fig.1: Graphical presentation of Subject wise percentage of grades given by students for course curriculum

**Course Curriculum Feedback: SUB1**

* None of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB1 as Average
* 29% students have rated course curriculum of SUB1 as Good
* 52% students have rated course curriculum of SUB1 as Excellent
* 19% students have rated course curriculum of SUB1 as Outstanding

**Course Curriculum Feedback: SUB2**

* None of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB2 as Average
* 19% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB2as Good
* 62% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB2 as Excellent
* 19% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB2 as Outstanding

**Course Curriculum Feedback: SUB3**

* None of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB3 as Average
* 14% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB3as Good
* 71% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB3 as Excellent
* 14% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB3 as Outstanding

**Course Curriculum Feedback: SUB4**

* Nonestudents have rated course curriculum of SUB4 as Average
* 29% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB4as Good
* 43% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB4 as Excellent
* 29% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB4 as Outstanding

**Course Curriculum Feedback: SUB5**

* None of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB5 as Average
* None of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB5as Good
* 14% of the students have rated course curriculum of SUB5as Excellent
* Noneof the students have rated course curriculum of SUB5as Outstanding

**Analysis of students feedback about teachers**

In the second part the students have given their feedback about different characteristics of teachers about preparedness for each classuse of ICT tools, fair evaluation, punctuality, overall effectiveness, communicationclarity of concepts, listening skills and time management as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 3: Subject wise Frequenciesof grades given by students for performance of teachers

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **GRADE** | **SUB1** |
| A | 0 |
| G | 3 |
| E | 13 |
| O | 5 |
| YES | 5 |
| NO | 2 |
| TOTAL | 21 |

Table 4: Subject wise percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **GRADE** | **SUB1** |
| A | 0 |
| G | 14 |
| E | 62 |
| O | 24 |
| YES | 24 |
| NO | 10 |

Fig.2: Graphical presentation of Subject wise percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers.