**Analysis of feedbacks of parents of, MTA Year, 2017-2018**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Analysis of feedbacks of students about course curriculum**

In part I students have given the feedback about different aspects of course curriculum such as

1. The course objectives and outcomes were clearly defined/identified
2. Length: Course material were of appropriate length
3. Relevance: Course material were relevant
4. Quality: Course material were of high quality and up to date
5. Organization: Material was well organized
6. The course provides useful inputs
7. The course provides focus on skill development/ employability/ entrepreneurship
8. The course updates understanding in this fieldas per their satisfaction in 4 levels:
9. Average
10. Good
11. Excellent
12. Outstanding

The students graded the about course curriculum as follows:

Table 1: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for course curriculum

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | | Sub2 | | Sub3 | | Sub4 | | Sub4 | |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 7 |
| E | 43 | 34 | 42 | 33 | 34 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 31 | 27 |
| O | 79 | 62 | 80 | 63 | 75 | 65 | 75 | 65 | 76 | 66 |
| Total | 127 | 100 | 127 | 100 | 115 | 100 | 115 | 100 | 115 | 100 |

**Sub1**

* 62**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub1 as outstanding
* 34% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub1 as Excellent
* 4% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub1 as good
* Noneof the students have rated course curriculum of Sub1 as average

**Sub2**

* 33**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub2 as Excellent
* 63**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub2 as outstanding
* 4**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub2 as good
* Noneof the students have rated course curriculum of Sub2 as average

**Sub3**

* 30**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub3 as Excellent
* 65**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub3 as outstanding
* 5% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub3 as good
* None of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub3 as average

**Sub4**

* 29**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub4 as Excellent
* 66**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub4 as outstanding
* 5% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub4 as good
* None of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub4 as average

**Sub5**

* 27**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub5 as Excellent
* 66**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub5 as outstanding
* 7% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub5 as good
* None of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub5 as average

**Criterion 2: Infrastructure**

**The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

Table 2: Infrastructure feedback summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 18 | 24 |
| G | 15 | 20 |
| E | 19 | 25 |
| O | 24 | 32 |
| Total | 76 | 100 |

* 32**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 25**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 20% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 24%of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Semester- I about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials:**

In part III students have given the feedback about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials as:

1. Project / Seminar topics are new and interesting
2. Learnt a lot from doing the project / Seminar? Home Assignment
3. The assignment was regularly given and checked
4. Sufficient number of practicals were conducted

as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 3: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for project/seminar/assignments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 10 | 3 |
| G | 67 | 19 |
| E | 112 | 32 |
| O | 158 | 46 |
| Total | 347 | 100 |

* 32**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Chemistry as Excellent
* 46**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Chemistry as outstanding
* 19% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Chemistry as good
* 3% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Chemistry as averag

**Criterion 4: Infrastructure**

**The feedback of students about Infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

Table 4: Infrastructure feedback summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 198 | 33 |
| G | 161 | 27 |
|  |
| E | 130 | 21 |
| O | 118 | 19 |
| Total | 607 | 100 |

* 21**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 19**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 27% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 33% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

**Criterion 5: Overall**

**The feedback of students about Overall is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

Table 5: Overall feedback summary

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | Grade | Frequency | Percent | | A | 3 | 1 | | G | 43 | 17 | |  | | E | 77 | 30 | | O | 132 | 52 | | Total | 255 | 100 | |  |  |  | |  |  |

* 30**%** of the students have rated Overall facilities in the department as Excellent
* 52**%** of the students have rated Overall facilities in the department as outstanding
* 17% of the students have rated Overall facilities in the department as good
* 1% of the students have rated Overall facilities in the department as average

**Analysis of feedbacks of students of aboutcriterion VI, VII, VIII, IX and X**

In part VI, VII, VIII, IX and X the students have to give feedback as yes or no of single question

The summary of students feedback is summarized in the following tab

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Feedback about Criterion | Yes | No |
| (%) | (%) |
| VI | 22 | 13 |
|
| VII | 21 | 15 |
|
| VIII | 19 | 24 |
|
| IX | 21 | 13 |
|
| X | 17 | 35 |
|
| Total | 100 | 100 |

* **22**% of the students wish to have counseling by the teachers / experts for their personal or academic problem.
* **21**% of the students of the students wish to have classes in soft skill and personality development.
* **19**% of the students of the students wish to havemore industrial/ field visits.
* **21**% of the students finds a positive change in department activities in this semester.
* **17**% of the students of the students would like to be actively associated with alumni association