**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Semester:Political Science, M.A I year II Sem 2017-2018**
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**Analysis of feedbacks of students about course curriculum**

In part I students have given the feedback about different aspects of course curriculum such as

1. The course objectives and outcomes were clearly defined/identified
2. Length: Course material were of appropriate length
3. Relevance: Course material were relevant
4. Quality: Course material were of high quality and up to date
5. Organization: Material was well organized
6. The course provides useful inputs
7. The course provides focus on skill development/ employability/ entrepreneurship
8. The course updates understanding in this fieldas per their satisfaction in 4 levels:
9. Average
10. Good
11. Excellent
12. Outstanding

The students graded the about course curriculum as follows:

Table 1: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for course curriculum

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | | Sub2 | | Sub3 | | Sub4 | |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 30 | 11 | 21 | 8 | 30 | 11 | 75 | 28 |
| G | 79 | 30 | 74 | 28 | 71 | 27 | 77 | 29 |
| E | 80 | 30 | 86 | 32 | 86 | 32 | 59 | 22 |
| O | 76 | 29 | 86 | 32 | 78 | 29 | 54 | 20 |
| Total | 265 | 100 | 267 | 100 | 265 | 100 | 265 | 100 |

**sub1**

* 29**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of sub1 as outstanding
* 30% of the students have rated course curriculum of sub1 as Excellent
* 30 % of the students have rated course curriculum of sub1 as good
* 11 % of the students have rated course curriculum of sub1 as average

**sub2**

* 32**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of sub2 as outstanding
* **32%** of the students have rated course curriculum ofsub2 as Excellent
* 28**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of sub2as good
* 8% of the students have rated course curriculum of sub2 as average

**sub3**

* 29**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of sub4 as outstanding
* 32**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of sub4 asExcellent
* 27% of the students have rated course curriculum of sub4 as good
* 11% of the students have rated course curriculum ofsub4 as average

**sub4**

* 20**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of sub4 as outstanding
* 22**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of sub4 as Excellent
* 29% of the students have rated course curriculum of sub4 as good
* 28% of the students have rated course curriculum ofsub4 as average

**Analysis of students feedback about teachers**

In the second part the students have given their feedback about different characteristics of teachers about preparedness for each classuse of ICT tools, fair evaluation, punctuality, overall effectiveness, communicationclarity of concepts, listening skills and time management as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | | Sub2 | | Sub3 | | Sub4 | |
|  | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % |
| A | 31 | 8 | 26 | 6 | 28 | 7 | 56 | 14 |
| G | 76 | 19 | 61 | 15 | 78 | 19 | 94 | 24 |
| E | 115 | 29 | 121 | 30 | 127 | 32 | 119 | 30 |
| O | 181 | 45 | 195 | 48 | 168 | 42 | 128 | 32 |
| Total | 403 | 100 | 403 | 100 | 401 | 100 | 397 | 100 |

**Sub1**

* 45**%** of the students have rated performance of sub1as outstanding
* 29% of the students have rated performance of sub1as Excellent
* 19% of the students have rated performance of sub1 as good
* 8% of the students have rated performance of sub1 as average

**Sub2**

* 48**%** of the students have rated performance of sub2 as outstanding
* 30**%** of the students have rated performance of sub2 as Excellent
* 15 % of the students have rated performance ofsub2 as good
* 6% of the students have rated performance of sub2 as average

**Sub3**

* 42**%** of the students have rated performance of sub3 as outstanding
* 32**%** of the students have rated performance of sub3 as Excellent
* 19% of the students have rated performance of sub3 as good
* 7% of the students have rated performance of sub3 as average

**Sub4**

* 32**%** of the students have rated performance of sub4 as outstanding
* 30**%** of the students have rated performance of sub4 as Excellent
* 24% of the students have rated performance of sub4 as good
* 14% of the students have rated performance of sub4 as average

**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Semester- I about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials:**

In part III students have given the feedback about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials as:

1. Project / Seminar topics are new and interesting
2. Learnt a lot from doing the project / Seminar? Home Assignment
3. The assignment was regularly given and checked
4. Sufficient number of practicals were conducted

as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 3: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for project/seminar/assignments

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | | Sub2 | | Sub3 | | Sub4 | |
|  | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % |
| A | 17 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 19 | 19 |
| G | 28 | 27 | 22 | 21 | 25 | 24 | 36 | 36 |
| E | 34 | 33 | 41 | 40 | 38 | 37 | 24 | 24 |
| O | 24 | 23 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 21 | 21 |
| Total | 103 | 100 | 103 | 100 | 103 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

**Sub1**

* 23**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1as t outstanding
* 33**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 asExcellen
* 27% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments ofsub1 as good
* 17% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1as averag

**Sub2**

* 26**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 as t outstanding
* 40**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 asExcellen
* 21% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 as good
* 13% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1as averag

**Sub3**

* 25**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 as t outstanding
* 37**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 asExcellen
* 24% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 as good
* 14% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1as averag

**Sub 4**

* 21**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 as t outstanding
* 24**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 asExcellen
* 36% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1 as good
* 19% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub1as averag

**Criterion 4: Infrastructure**

**The feedback of students about Infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

Table 4

: Infrastructure feedback summary

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | | Sub2 | | Sub3 | | Sub4 | |
|  | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % |
| A | 43 | 31 | 36 | 31 | 30 | 27 | 38 | 33 |
| G | 43 | 31 | 32 | 28 | 35 | 32 | 34 | 30 |
| E | 31 | 22 | 27 | 23 | 27 | 24 | 29 | 25 |
| O | 21 | 15 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 12 |
| Total | 138 | 100 | 116 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 115 | 100 |

**Sub1**

* 15**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 22**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 31% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 31% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

**Sub2**

* 18**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 23**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 28% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 31% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

**Sub3**

* 17**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 24**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 32% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 27% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

**Sub4**

* 12**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 25**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 30% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 33% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average