**Dr. BabasahebAmbedkarMarathwada University, Aurangabad**

**Department of Physics**

**Analysis Report of Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Year 2014-2015**

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. M. D. Shirsat (Sub: Advance Sensor Technology):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 1**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 0 | 0 |
| Fairly | 1 | 1 |
| Very Good | 16 | 16 |
| Excellent | 82 | 83 |
| Total | 99 | 100 |

* 83% of the students graded the performance of Dr. M. D. Shirsatas Excellent
* 16% of the students graded the performance of Dr. M. D. Shirsatas Very Good
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. M. D. Shirsat as Fairly

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. P. W. Khirade (Sub: Industrical& Communicational Electronics):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 2**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 1 | 0 |
| Good | 3 | 1 |
| Fairly | 1 | 1 |
| Very Good | 58 | 29 |
| Excellent | 138 | 69 |
| Total | 201 | 100 |

* 63% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. W. Khirade as Excellent
* 29% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. W. Khirade as Very Good
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. W. Khirade as Fairly
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. W. Khirade as Good

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. V. V. Naverkhele(Sub: Micro Controller):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 3**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 1 | 1 |
| Fairly | 0 | 0 |
| Very Good | 49 | 49 |
| Excellent | 50 | 50 |
| Total | 100 | 100 |

* 50% of the students graded the performance of Dr. V. V. Naverkhele as Excellent
* 49% of the students graded the performance of Dr. V. V. Naverkhele as Very Good
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. V. V. Naverkhele as Fairly
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. V. V. Naverkhele as Good

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. R. P. Sharma (Sub: Condensed Matter Physics):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 4**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 2 | 1 |
| Good | 14 | 7 |
| Fairly | 4 | 2 |
| Very Good | 81 | 40 |
| Excellent | 101 | 50 |
| Total | 202 | 100 |

* 50% of the students graded the performance of Dr. R. P. Sharma as Excellent
* 40% of the students graded the performance of Dr. R. P. Sharma as Very Good
* 2% of the students graded the performance of Dr. R. P. Sharma as Fairly
* 7% of the students graded the performance of Dr. R. P. Sharma as Good
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. R. P. Sharmaas Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. G. M. Dharne(Sub: Maths Methods in Physics):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 5**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 12 | 7 |
| Good | 24 | 14 |
| Fairly | 5 | 3 |
| Very Good | 91 | 54 |
| Excellent | 38 | 22 |
| Total | 170 | 100 |

* 22% of the students graded the performance of Dr. G. M. Dharne as Excellent
* 54% of the students graded the performance of Dr. G. M. Dharne as Very Good
* 3% of the students graded the performance of Dr. G. M. Dharne as Fairly
* 14% of the students graded the performance of Dr. G. M. Dharne as Good
* 17% of the students graded the performance of Dr. G. M. Dharne as Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. Parajapati(Sub: Numerical Method):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 6**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 2 | 1 |
| Good | 1 | 1 |
| Fairly Good | 0 | 0 |
| Very Good | 37 | 25 |
| Excellent | 110 | 73 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

* 73% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Parajapati as Excellent
* 25% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Parajapati as Very Good
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Parajapati as Fairly Good
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Parajapatias Good
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Parajapati as Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. P. P. Pawar(Sub: General Nuclear Physics):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 7**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 3 | 1 |
| Good | 14 | 6 |
| Fairly | 1 | 1 |
| Very Good | 81 | 34 |
| Excellent | 137 | 58 |
| Total | 236 | 100 |

* 58% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. P. Pawar as Excellent
* 34% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. P. Pawar as Very Good
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. P. Pawar as Fairly
* 6% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. P. Pawar as Good
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. P. P. Pawar as Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. Sunil S. Deshpande(Sub: Quantum Mechanics):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 8**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 2 | 1 |
| Good | 5 | 3 |
| Fairly | 3 | 2 |
| Very Good | 72 | 41 |
| Excellent | 93 | 53 |
| Total | 175 | 100 |

* 53% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Sunil S. Deshpande as Excellent
* 41% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Sunil S. Deshpande as Very Good
* 2% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Sunil S. Deshpande as Fairly
* 3% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Sunil S. Deshpande as Good
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Sunil S. Deshpande as Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. Undre(Sub: Atomic Spectroscopy):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 9**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 8 | 9 |
| Good | 6 | 7 |
| Fairly | 3 | 3 |
| Very Good | 33 | 36 |
| Excellent | 41 | 45 |
| Total | 91 | 100 |

* 45% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Undre as Excellent
* 36% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Undre as Very Good
* 3% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Undre as Fairly
* 7% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Undre as Good
* 9% of the students graded the performance of Dr. Undre as Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. K. M. Jadhav(Sub: Nuclear Physics):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 10**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 3 | 5 |
| Fairly | 0 | 0 |
| Very Good | 15 | 27 |
| Excellent | 38 | 68 |
| Total | 56 | 100 |

* 68% of the students graded the performance of Dr. K. M. Jadhav as Excellent
* 27% of the students graded the performance of Dr. K. M. Jadhav as Very Good
* 5% of the students graded the performance of Dr. K. M. Jadhavas Good

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. BimbalKhedkar(Sub: Radiation Measurements & Nuclear Dosimetry):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 11**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 1 | 2 |
| Good | 2 | 5 |
| Fairly | 0 | 0 |
| Very Good | 18 | 43 |
| Excellent | 21 | 50 |
| Total | 42 | 100 |

* 50% of the students graded the performance of Dr. BimbalKhedkar as Excellent
* 43% of the students graded the performance of Dr. BimbalKhedkar as Very Good
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. BimbalKhedkar as Fairly
* 5% of the students graded the performance of Dr. BimbalKhedkar as Good
* 2% of the students graded the performance of Dr. BimbalKhedkar as Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. S. S. Patil(Sub: Molicular Spectroscop-1):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 12**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 4 | 3 |
| Good | 3 | 3 |
| Fairly | 1 | 1 |
| Very Good | 35 | 28 |
| Excellent | 81 | 65 |
| Total | 124 | 100 |

* 65% of the students graded the performance of Dr. S. S. Patil as Excellent
* 28% of the students graded the performance of Dr. S. S. Patil as Very Good
* 1% of the students graded the performance of Dr. S. S. Patil as Fairly
* 2% of the students graded the performance of Dr. S. S. Patil as Good
* 3% of the students graded the performance of Dr. S. S. Patil as Average

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. B. N. Dole(Sub: Condensed Matter Physics):**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 5 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly, Very Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 13**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 0 | 0 |
| Fairly | 0 | 0 |
| Very Good | 18 | 22 |
| Excellent | 65 | 78 |
| Total | 83 | 100 |

* 78% of the students graded the performance of Dr. B. N. Dole as Excellent
* 22% of the students graded the performance of Dr. B. N. Dole as Very Good
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. B. N. Dole as Fairly
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. B. N. Dole as Good
* 0% of the students graded the performance of Dr. B. N. Dole as Average