**Analysis of feedbacks of Alumni: 2017-2018**
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**Analysis of feedbacks of Alumniabout course curriculum**

In part I alumni have given the feedback about different aspects of course curriculum such as

1. The course objectives and outcomes were clearly defined/identified
2. Length: Course material were of appropriate length
3. Relevance: Course material were relevant
4. Quality: Course material were of high quality and up to date
5. Organization: Material was well organized
6. The course provides useful inputs
7. The course provides focus on skill development/ employability/ entrepreneurship
8. The course updates understanding in this fieldas per their satisfaction in 4 levels:
9. Average
10. Good
11. Excellent
12. Outstanding

The alumni graded the about course curriculum as follows:

Table 1: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by alumni for course curriculum

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | Sub2 | Sub3 | Sub4 | Sub5 |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 12 | 40 | 13 | 43 | 15 | 50 | 14 | 47 | 7 | 58 |
| E | 14 | 47 | 13 | 43 | 12 | 40 | 13 | 43 | 5 | 42 |
| O | 4 | 13 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 30 | 100 | 30 | 100 | 30 | 100 | 30 | 100 | 12 | 100 |

**sub1**

* 13**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub1 as outstanding
* 47% of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub1 as Excellent
* 40 % of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub1 as good
* 0 % of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub1 as average

**sub2**

* 13**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub2 as outstanding
* **43%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum ofsub2 as Excellent
* 43**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub2as good
* 0% of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub2 as average

**sub3**

* 10**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub4 as outstanding
* 40**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub4 asExcellent
* 50% of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub4 as good
* 0% of the alumni have rated course curriculum ofsub4 as average

**sub4**

* 10**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub4 as outstanding
* 43**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub4 as Excellent
* 47% of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub4 as good
* 0% of the alumni have rated course curriculum ofsub4 as average

 **sub5**

* 0**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub5 as outstanding
* 42**%** of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub5 as Excellent
* 58% of the alumni have rated course curriculum of sub5as good
* 0% of the alumni have rated course curriculum ofsub5 as average

**Criterion 2: Infrastructure**

**The feedback of alumni about Infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

Table 2: Infrastructure feedback summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 1 | 3 |
| G | 15 |  |
|  43 |
| E | 16 | 46 |
| O | 3 | 9 |
| Total | 35 | 100 |

* 9**%** of the alumni have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 46**%** of the alumni have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 43% of the alumnihave rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 3% of the alumni have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average