**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Semester- II, MCA , 2018**

**Analysis of feedbacks of students about course curriculum**

In part I students have given the feedback about different aspects of course curriculum such as

1. The course objectives and outcomes were clearly defined/identified
2. Length: Course material were of appropriate length
3. Relevance: Course material were relevant
4. Quality: Course material were of high quality and up to date
5. Organization: Material was well organized
6. The course provides useful inputs
7. The course provides focus on skill development/ employability/ entrepreneurship
8. The course updates understanding in this field as per their satisfaction in 4 levels:
9. Average
10. Good
11. Excellent
12. Outstanding

The students graded the about course curriculum as follows:

Table 1: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for course curriculum

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Verbal & Nonverbal | STOA | ADCN | A.Java | Linux | OAAD |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 5 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 18 | 13 |
| G | 63 | 46 | 59 | 44 | 55 | 40 | 58 | 43 | 61 | 45 | 63 | 45 |
| E | 30 | 22 | 33 | 25 | 40 | 29 | 41 | 30 | 43 | 31 | 38 | 27 |
| O | 38 | 28 | 31 | 23 | 28 | 21 | 25 | 18 | 22 | 16 | 22 | 16 |
| Total | 136 | 100 | 134 | 100 | 136 | 100 | 136 | 100 | 137 | 100 | 141 | 100 |

**Course Curriculum Feedback:** Verbal & Nonverbal

* 28**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Verbal & Nonverbal as outstanding
* 22% of the students have rated course curriculum of Verbal & Nonverbal as Excellent
* 16% of the students have rated course curriculum of Verbal & Nonverbal as good
* 4% of the students have rated course curriculum of Verbal & Nonverbal as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback:** STOA

* 25**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of STOA as Excellent
* 23**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of STOA as outstanding
* 44**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of STOA as good
* 8% of the students have rated course curriculum of STOA as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: ADCN**

* **29%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Organic Chemistry as Excellent
* **21%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Organic Chemistry as outstanding
* 40%of the students have rated course curriculum of Organic Chemistry as good
* 10%of the students have rated course curriculum of Organic Chemistry as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: A .JAVA**

* 30**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of **A .JAVA** as Excellent
* 18**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of **A .JAVA** as outstanding
* 43 %of the students have rated course curriculum of **A .JAVA** as good
* 9% of the students have rated course curriculum of **A .JAVA** as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: Linux**

* 31**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of **Linux** as Excellent
* 16% of the students have rated course curriculum of **Linux** as outstanding
* 45% of the students have rated course curriculum of **Linux** as good
* 8% of the students have rated course curriculum of **Linux** as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: OAAD**

* 27**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Constitution of India as Excellent
* 16% of the students have rated course curriculum of Constitution of India as outstanding
* 45% of the students have rated course curriculum of Constitution of India as good
* 13% of the students have rated course curriculum of Constitution of India as average

**Analysis of students feedback about teachers**

In the second part the students have given their feedback about different characteristics of teachers about preparedness for each class use of ICT tools, fair evaluation, punctuality, overall effectiveness, communication clarity of concepts, listening skills and time management as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Verbal & Nonverbal | STOA | ADCN | A.Java | Linux | OAAD |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 5 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| G | 55 | 27 | 14 | 9 | 107 | 36 | 64 | 32 | 60 | 30 | 60 | 25 |
| E | 85 | 41 | 89 | 55 | 84 | 29 | 82 | 41 | 81 | 40 | 78 | 32 |
| O | 62 | 30 | 50 | 31 | 92 | 31 | 48 | 24 | 53 | 26 | 96 | 40 |
| Total | 207 | 100 | 163 | 100 | 294 | 100 | 202 | 100 | 202 | 100 | 242 | 100 |

Sub 1

* 30 **%** of the students have rated performance of teacher as outstanding
* 41% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 27% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 2% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

Sub  **2**

* 55**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 31**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 9% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 6% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

Sub3

* **29%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 31% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 36% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 4%of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

Sub 4

* **41%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 24**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 32 %of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 4% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

Sub 5

* **40%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* **26%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 30% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* **4%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

Sub 6

* **32%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* **40%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 25% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* **3%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Semester- I about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials:**

In part III students have given the feedback about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials as:

1. Project / Seminar topics are new and interesting
2. Learnt a lot from doing the project / Seminar? Home Assignment
3. The assignment was regularly given and checked
4. Sufficient number of practicals were conducted

as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 3: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for project/seminar/assignments

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Verbal & Nonverbal | STOA | ADCN | A.Java | Linux | OAAD |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 35 | 51 | 33 | 49 | 39 | 57 | 38 | 56 | 36 | 53 | 36 | 52 |
| E | 23 | 34 | 29 | 43 | 24 | 35 | 21 | 31 | 25 | 37 | 26 | 38 |
| O | 10 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| Total | 68 | 100 | 68 | 100 | 69 | 100 | 68 | 100 | 68 | 100 | 69 | 100 |

Verbal & Nonverbal

* 34**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 408 as Excellent
* 15**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 408 as outstanding
* 51% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 408 as good
* 0% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 408 as average

STOA

* 43**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 409 as Excellent
* 9% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 409 as outstanding
* 49%of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 409 as good
* 0 % of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 409 as average

 ADCN

* 35% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 410 as Excellent
* 6% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 410 as outstanding
* 57% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 410 as good
* 3% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 410 as average

A.Java

* 31**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Physical Sub 411 as Excellent
* 12% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Physical Sub 411 as outstanding
* 56% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub 411 as good
* 1% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub 411as average

Linux

* 37**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 412 as Excellent
* 10 of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 412 as outstanding
* 53**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 412 as good
* 0% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub 412 as average

OAAD

* 38**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 412 as Excellent
* 10% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 412 as outstanding
* 52**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 412 as good
* 0% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub 412 as average

In part IV students have given overall feedback about 3 different aspects as follows:

1. I enjoyed the seminar, project, tutorials, home assignments.
2. I would recommend the course to others
3. Class environment was student friendly

|  |
| --- |
| Subject 1 : Overall |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 3 | 5 |
| G | 18 | 31 |
| E | 13 | 22 |
| O | 25 | 42 |
| Total | 59 | 100 |

* **22%** of the students have rated the overall course as Excellent
* **42%** of the students have rated the overall course as Outstanding**.**
* **31%** of the students have rated the overall course as good
* **5%** of the students have rated the overall course as average

**Criterion 5: Infrastructure**

**The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

 Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 3 | 9 |
| G | 9 | 26 |
| E | 14 | 40 |
| O | 9 | 26 |
| Total | 35 | 100 |

* 40**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 26**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 26% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 9% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

**Criterion 5: Infrastructure**

**The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

 Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 3 | 9 |
| G | 9 | 26 |
| E | 14 | 40 |
| O | 9 | 26 |
| Total | 35 | 100 |

* 40**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Excellent
* 26**%** of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as outstanding
* 26% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as good
* 9% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average