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1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. S. P. Pande**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 4 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 1**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 12 | 10 |
| Fairly Good | 22 | 19 |
| Excellent | 83 | 71 |
| Total | 117 | 100 |

* 71% of the students graded the performance of Dr. S. P. Pande as Excellent
* 19% of the students graded the performance of Dr. S. P. Pande as Fairly good
* 10% of the students graded the performance of Dr.S. P. Pande as good

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. A. K. Deshmukh**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 4 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 2**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 31 | 46 |
| Fairly Good | 13 | 19 |
| Excellent | 24 | 35 |
| Total | 68 | 100 |

* 35% of the students graded the performance of Dr. A. K. Deshmukh as Excellent
* 19% of the students graded the performance of Dr. A. K. Deshmukh as Fairly good
* 46% of the students graded the performance of Dr.A. K. Deshmukh as good

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Dr. N. S. Patil**

The students graded the Punctuality, Contents of Lectures, Clarity in Presentation, Reference related to and beyond the topic, Scholarship, Classroom behavior, Counseling and consultation outside the class into 4 grades namely:

Average, Good, Fairly Good and Excellent.

The summary table of feedbacks is as follows:

**Subject: 3**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 12 | 15 |
| Fairly Good | 23 | 29 |
| Excellent | 44 | 56 |
| Total | 79 | 100 |

* 56% of the students graded the performance of Dr. N. S. Patil as Excellent
* 29% of the students graded the performance of Dr. N. S. Patil as Fairly good
* 15% of the students graded the performance of Dr.N. S. Patil as good

1. **Analysis Report of Evaluation of Infrastructure and facilities available in the department:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| Average | 0 | 0 |
| Poor | 0 | 0 |
| Good | 16 | 46 |
| Very Good | 19 | 54 |
| Total | 35 | 100 |

* 54% of the students graded the infrastructure and facilities available of Department of Law as Very Good
* 46% of the students graded the infrastructure and facilities available of Department of Law as Good