**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Semester- IV, M.A.-II, Geography, 2017-2018**

**Analysis of feedbacks of students about course curriculum**

In part I students have given the feedback about different aspects of course curriculum such as

1. The course objectives and outcomes were clearly defined/identified
2. Length: Course material were of appropriate length
3. Relevance: Course material were relevant
4. Quality: Course material were of high quality and up to date
5. Organization: Material was well organized
6. The course provides useful inputs
7. The course provides focus on skill development/ employability/ entrepreneurship
8. The course updates understanding in this field as per their satisfaction in 4 levels:
9. Average
10. Good
11. Excellent
12. Outstanding

The students graded the about course curriculum as follows:

Table 1: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for course curriculum

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub 1 | Sub 2 | Sub 3 | Sub 4 | Sub 5 |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 20 | 36 | 17 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| G | 12 | 22 | 47 | 41 | 55 | 63 | 20 | 24 | 15 | 19 |
| E | 10 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 21 | 24 | 48 | 57 | 11 | 14 |
| O | 13 | 24 | 37 | 32 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 49 | 61 |
| Total | 55 | 100 | 114 | 100 | 88 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 80 | 100 |

**Course Curriculum Feedback: Sub 1**

* 24**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 1as outstanding
* 18 % of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 1 as Excellent
* 22 % of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 1 as good
* 36% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 1 as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: Sub 2**

* 11**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 2 as Excellent
* 32**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub2 as outstanding
* 41**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub2 as good
* 15% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub2 as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: Sub 3**

* 24 **%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 3as Excellent
* 8 **%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 3 as outstanding
* 63% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 3 as good
* 6% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 3 as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: Sub 4**

* 57**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 4 as Excellent
* 13**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 4as outstanding
* 24% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 4 as good
* 6% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 4as average

**Course Curriculum Feedback: Sub 5**

* 14**%** of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub5 as Excellent
* 61% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 5 as outstanding
* 19% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 5 as good
* 6% of the students have rated course curriculum of Sub 5 as average

**Analysis of students feedback about teachers**

In the second part the students have given their feedback about different characteristics of teachers about preparedness for each class use of ICT tools, fair evaluation, punctuality, overall effectiveness, communication clarity of concepts, listening skills and time management as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | Sub 2 | Sub 3 | Sub 4 | Sub 5 |
|  | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 39 | 59 | 24 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 11 |
| G | 14 | 21 | 64 | 53 | 72 | 58 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 14 |
| E | 8 | 12 | 25 | 21 | 35 | 28 | 84 | 66 | 18 | 17 |
| O | 5 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 22 | 17 | 60 | 57 |
| Total | 66 | 100 | 120 | 100 | 124 | 100 | 128 | 100 | 105 | 100 |

Sub 1

* 8**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher as outstanding
* 12% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 21 %of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 59 % of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

**Sub 2**

* 21**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 6**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 53% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 20% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

Sub 3

* 28**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 5**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 11% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 11 % of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

Sub 4

* 66**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* 17**%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 9% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* 9% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

**Sub 5**

* **17 %** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as Excellent
* **57 %** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as outstanding
* 14% of the students have rated performance of teacher name as good
* **11%** of the students have rated performance of teacher name as average

**Analysis of feedbacks of students of Semester- I about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials:**

In part III students have given the feedback about Projects/Seminars/ Home assignments/ Tutorials as:

1. Project / Seminar topics are new and interesting
2. Learnt a lot from doing the project / Seminar? Home Assignment
3. The assignment was regularly given and checked
4. Sufficient number of practicals were conducted

as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

E-Excellent

O-Outstanding

Table 3: Subject wise Percentage of grades given by students for project/seminar/assignments

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Sub1 | Sub2 | Sub3 | Sub4 | Sub5 |
|   | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| A | 10 | 56 | 9 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| G | 6 | 33 | 20 | 63 | 28 | 67 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 18 |
| E | 2 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 21 | 34 | 71 | 2 | 6 |
| O | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 23 | 68 |
| Total | 18 | 100 | 32 | 100 | 42 | 100 | 48 | 100 | 34 | 100 |

**Sub 1**

* 11**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub1 as Excellent
* None of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 1 as outstanding
* 33%of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub1 as good
* 56% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 1 as average

**Sub 2**

* 6**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as Excellent
* 3**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as outstanding
* 63% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as good
* 28% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as average

**Sub 3**

* 21**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub3 as Excellent
* 10**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub3 as outstanding
* 67% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub3 as good
* 2% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub3 as average

**Sub 4**

* 71**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as Excellent
* 15% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as outstanding
* 13% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as good
* 2% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as average

**Sub 5**

* 6**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as Excellent
* 68% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as outstanding
* 18**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as good
* 9% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as average

 Table 4: **Infrastructure**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  Grade | Freq. | % |
| A | 8 | 31 |
| G | 12 | 46 |
| E | 0 | 0 |
| O | 6 | 23 |
| Total | 26 | 100 |

**Sub 1**

* None of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub1 as Excellent
* 23% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 1 as outstanding
* 46%of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub1 as good
* 31% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 1 as average

**Sub 2**

* 12**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as Excellent
* 6**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as outstanding
* 59% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as good
* 22% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as average

**Sub 3**

* 17**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of sub3 as Excellent
* 25**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub3 as outstanding
* 51% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub3 as good
* 7% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub3 as average

**Sub 4**

* 61**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as Excellent
* 19% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as outstanding
* 14% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as good
* 6% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub4 as average

**Sub 5**

* 23**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as Excellent
* 72% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as outstanding
* 6**%** of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as good
* None of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub5 as average

**Criterion 5: Overall**

**The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows**:

 Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Frequency | Percent |
| A | 12 | 13 |
| G | 24 | 27 |
| E | 37 | 41 |
| O | 17 | 19 |
| Total | 90 | 100 |

* None of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub1 as Excellent
* 23% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 2 as outstanding
* 46%of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub3 as good
* 31% of the students have rated Project/seminar/assignments of Sub 4 as average

**Analysis of feedbacks of students of about criterion VI, VII, VIII, IX and X**

In part VI, VII, VIII, IX and X the students have to give feedback as yes or no of single question

The summary of students feedback is summarized in the following table:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Feedback about Criterion  | Yes | No |
| (%) | (%) |
| VI | 48 | 52 |
| VII | 80 | 20 |
| VIII | 78 | 22 |
| IX | 68 | 32 |
| X | 62 | 32 |

* **93**% of the students wish to have counseling by the teachers / experts for their personal or academic problem.
* **98**% of the students of the students wish to have classes in soft skill and personality development.
* **97**% of the students of the students wish to have more industrial/ field visits.
* **99**% of the students finds a positive change in department activities in this semester.
* **99**% of the students of the students would like to be actively associated with alumni association