DR. BABASAHEB AMBEDKAR MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY, AURANGABAD

NAAC Re-accredited with 'A' Grade

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

Academic Year 2015-16

Analysis of M. Sc .Chemistry IInd Year students feedback about teachers , Infrastructure

In the second part the students have given their feedback about different characteristics of teachers about preparedness for each class use of ICT tools, fair evaluation, punctuality, overall effectiveness, communication clarity of concepts, listening skills and time management as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

F- Fairly Good

E-Excellent

Organic Chemistry

Table 1: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

Grade	Dr.G.M Bondle		Dr.B.B shingate		Dr.C.H Gill	
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%
A	4	2	1	0	3	1
G	26	12	14	6	33	13
F	45	20	18	7	36	14
E	149	67	211	86	183	72
Total	224	100	244	100	255	100



Dr.G.M Bondle

- 67% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle Excellent
- 20% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle Fairly good
- 12% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle as Good
- 2% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle as Average

Dr.Shingate

- 86% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Excellent
- 7% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Fairly good
- 6% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Average

Dr.C.H Gill

- 72% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill Excellent
- 14% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill Fairly good
- 13% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill as Good
- 1% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill as Average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Grade	Frequency	Percent
A	21	10
P	25	12

G	80	37
V	90	42
Total	216	100

Table 2: Infrastructure feedback summary



- 42% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 37% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 12% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 10% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Average

Inorganic Chemistry

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

	Dr.Survanshi		Dr.S.T Gaikwad		Dr.S.R Sonavane		Dr.M.K Lande	
Grade	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq	%
A	0	0	1	1	3	3	2	2
G	0	0	17	18	5	5	3	3
F	0	0	8	9	14	13	12	12
E	45	100	66	72	86	80	85	83
Total	45	100	92	100	108	100	102	100



Dr.Survanshi

- 100% of the students have rated performance of Dr.Survanshi Excellent
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.Survanshi Fairly good
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.Survanshi Good
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.Survanshi Average

Dr.S.T Gaikwad

- 72% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Excellent
- 9% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Fairly good
- 18% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Good
- 1% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Averag

Dr.S.R Sonone

- 80% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R.Sonone as Excellent
- 13% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R.Sonone as Fairly good
- 5% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R Sononeas good
- 3% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R.Sonone as average

Dr.M.K Lande

- 83% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as Excellent
- 12% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as Fairly good
- 3% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as good
- 2% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

Grade	Frequency	Percent
A	7	7
P	2	2
G	27	28
V	60	63
Total	96	100



- 63% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 28% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 2% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 7% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

Analytical Chemistry

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

Grade	Miss.A.S.Chavan		Dr.Deegore		Dr.Chate	
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%
A	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	7	5	0	0	0	0
F	7	5	0	0	7	50
E	125	90	7	100	7	50
Total	139	100	7	100	14	100



Miss A.S.Chavan

- 90 % of the students have rated performance of Miss A.S.Chavan Excellent
- 5% of the students have rated performance of Miss A.S.Chavan Fairly good
- 5% of the students have rated performance of Miss A.S.Chavan Good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Miss A.S.Chavan Average

Dr.Dheegore

- 100% of the students have rated performance of Dr.Dheegore Excellent
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.Dheegore Fairly good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.Dheegore Good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.Dheegore Averag

Dr.Chate

- 50% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.Chate as Excellent
- **50%** of the students have rated performance of , Dr.Chate as Fairly good
- None of the students have rated performance of , Dr.Chate as good
- None of the students have rated performance of , Dr.Chate as average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

Grade	Frequency	Percent
A	9	10
P	7	8
G	34	38
V	39	44
Total	89	100



- 44% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 38% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 8% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 10% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

Physical Chemistry

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teacher

Grade	Dr.B.R.Sathe		Dr.Chandekar		Dr.A.S.Rajbhoj		Dr.M.K.Shankurwar	
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq	%
A	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0
G	4	4	12	12	9	9	7	6
F	6	5	4	4	9	9	0	0
E	103	91	82	84	82	80	110	94
Total	113	100	98	100	103	100	117	100



Physical Chemistry , Dr.B.R Sathe

- 91% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.R Sathe Excellent
- 5% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.R Sathe Fairly good
- 4% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.R Sathe Good
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.R Sathe averag
-

Physical Chemistry , Dr.Chandekar

- 84% of the students have rated performance of Dr.Chandekar Excellent
- 4%of the students have rated performance of Dr.Chandekar Fairly good
- 12% of the students have rated performance of Dr.Chandekar Good
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.Chandekar averag

Physical Chemistry Dr.A.S Rajbhoj

- 80% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.A.S Rajbhoj as Excellent
- 9% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.A.S Rajbhoj as Fairly good

- 9% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.A.S Rajbhoj as good
- 3% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.A.S Rajbhoj as average

Physical Chemistry, Dr. Shankurwar

- 94% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.Shankurwar as Excellent
- 0% of the students have rated performance of , , Dr.Shankurwar as Fairly good
- 6% of the students have rated performance of , , Dr.Shankurwar as good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of , , Dr.Shankurwar as average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

Grade	Frequency	Percentage
A	10	11
P	2	2
G	27	29
V	55	59
Total	94	100



- 59% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 29% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 2% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 11% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average