DR. BABASAHEB AMBEDKAR MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY, AURANGABAD

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

Analysis of student feedback of M. Sc. Chemistry II Year for the academic 2014-2015

Analysis of students feedback about teachers

In the second part the students have given their feedback about different characteristics of teachers about preparedness for each class use of ICT tools, fair evaluation, punctuality, overall effectiveness, communication clarity of concepts, listening skills and time management as per student satisfaction level students have rated in 4 levels:

A-Average

G-Good

F- Fairly Good

E-Excellent

Table 1: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

Grade	Dr.G.M Bondle		Dr. B.B.Shingate		Dr.C.H Gill	
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%
A	15	9	1	0	7	3
G	50	29	9	4	42	20
F	41	24	13	6	39	19
E	66	38	180	89	122	58
Total	172	100	203	100	210	100



Dr.G.M Bondle

- 38% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle Excellent
- 24% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle Fairly good
- 29% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle as Good
- 9% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M.Bondle as Average

Dr.Shingate

- 89% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Excellent
- 6% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Fairly good
- 4% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Average

Dr.C.H Gill

- 58% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill Excellent
- 19% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill Fairly good
- 20% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill as Good
- 3% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.C.H.Gill as Average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

Grade	Frequency	Percent
A	15	7
P	7	3
G	86	43
V.G.	94	47
Total	202	100



- 47% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 43% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 3% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 7% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Average

Program: Inorganic Chemistry

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

Grade	Dr.R.A Mane		Dr.S.T Gaikwad		Dr.S.R Sonone		Dr.M.K Lande	
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.		Freq	%
A	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3
G	0	0	25	25	34	35	28	24

F	0	0	8	8	8	8	6	5
E	6	100	66	66	54	55	82	69
Total	6	100	100	100	98	100	119	100



Dr.R.A. Mane

- 100% of the students have rated performance of Dr.R.A.Mane Excellent
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.R.A.Mane Fairly good
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.R.A.Mane Good
- None of the students have rated performance of Dr.R.A.Mane Average

Dr.S.T Gaikwad

- 66% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Excellent
- 8% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Fairly good
- 25% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Good
- 1% of the students have rated performance of Dr.S.T.Gaikwad Averag

Dr.S.R Sonone

- 55% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R.Sonone as Excellent
- **8%** of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R.Sonone as Fairly good
- 35% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R Sononeas good
- 3% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.S.R.Sonone as average

Dr.M.K Lande

- 69% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as Excellent
- 5% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as Fairly good
- 24% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as good
- 3% of the students have rated performance of , Dr.M.K.Lande as average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

Grade	Frequency	Percent
A	5	6
P	5	6
G	51	59
V.G.	26	30
Total	87	100



- 30% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 59% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 6% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 6% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

Analytical Chemistry

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

Grade	Dr.G.M Bondle		Dr.B.B.Shingate		Dr.Sathe		Miss.A.S Chavan	
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.		Freq	%
A	19	13	0	0	7	4	1	1
G	29	20	0	0	18	11	7	4
F	40	28	0	0	17	11	22	13
E	55	38	7	100	118	74	133	82
Total	143	100	7	100	160	100	163	100



Dr.G.M Bondle

- 38% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M Bondle Excellent
- 28% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M Bondle Fairly good
- 20% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M Bondle Good
- 13% of the students have rated performance of Dr.G.M Bondle Average

Dr.B.B.Shingate

- 100% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Excellent
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Fairly good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B.B.Shingate Averag

Dr. Sathe

- 74% of the students have rated performance of , Dr. B. R Sathe as Excellent
- **11%** of the students have rated performance of , Dr. B. R Sathe as Fairly good
- 11% of the students have rated performance of , Dr. B. R Sathe as good
- 4% of the students have rated performance of , Dr. B. R Sathe as average

Dr. A.S Chavan

- 82% of the students have rated performance of Ms. A.S Chavan as Excellent

- 13% of the students have rated performance of Ms. A.S Chavan as Fairly good
- 4% of the students have rated performance of Ms. A.S Chavan as good
- 1% of the students have rated performance of Ms. A.S Chavan as average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

Grade	Frequency	Percent
A	7	**5**
P	10	7
G	58	**39**
V	73	**49**
Total	148	**100**



- 49% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 39% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 7% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 5% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average

Physical Chemistry

Table 2: Percentage of grades given by students for performance of teachers

Grade	Dr. A. S. Rajdhoj		Dr.B R Sathe		Dr.S. G Shankarwar	
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	
A	7	14	0	0	1	2
G	8	16	0	0	0	0
F	2	4	0	0	4	8
E	32	65	35	100	43	90
Total	49	100	35	100	48	100



Dr.Rajdhoj Anjali

- 65% of the students have rated performance of Dr. A S Rajdhoj Excellent
- 4% of the students have rated performance of Dr. A S Rajdhoj Fairly good
- 16% of the students have rated performance of Dr. A S Rajdhoj Good
- 14% of the students have rated performance of Dr. A S Rajdhoj averag
-

Dr. Sathe

- 100% of the students have rated performance of Dr. B R Sathe Excellent
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr.B R Sathe Fairly good

- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr. B R Sathe Good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of Dr. B R Sathe averag

Dr.Sunil

- 90% of the students have rated performance of , Dr. S. G. Shankarwar as Excellent
- 8% of the students have rated performance of , Dr. S. G. Shankarwar as Fairly good
- 0% of the students have rated performance of , Dr. S. G. Shankarwar as good
- 2% of the students have rated performance of , Dr. S. G. Shankarwar as average

Criterion 2: Infrastructure

The feedback of students about infrastructure is presented in tabular and graphical form as follows:

Table 5: Infrastructure feedback summary

Grade	Frequency	Percentage
A	7	6
P	0	0
G	37	33
V	68	61
Total	112	100



- 61% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Very good
- 33% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as Good
- 0% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as poor
- 6% of the students have rated Infrastructure facilities in the department as average