## Analysis of Alumni Feedback

#### **Department** - Chemical Technology

Course - B.Tech

#### Year - 2017-18

Regarding Course Curriculum, Alumni have given the feedback about following particular points-

- **a.** The course objectives and outcomes were clearly defined / identified.
- **b.** Length: Course Material was of appropriate length.
- **c.** Course material was relevant.
- **d.** Course material was of high quality and Up-to-date.
- e. Material was well organized. Assignment of reading material for each class was of appropriate length.
- **f.** The course provides useful inputs.
- g. The course provides focus on Skill Development/ Employability / Entrepreneurship.
- **h.** The course updates understanding in this field.

As per the Feedback format, we have assessed Alumni satisfaction in 4 levels:

- A- Average
- G- Good
- E- Excellent
- **O-** Outstanding


#### A) Analysis of the feedback given regarding the course objectives and outcomes:

- None of the Alumni have rated course objectives and outcomes as Outstanding.
- 27 % of the Alumni have rated course objectives and outcomes as Excellent.
- 50 % of the Alumni have rated course objectives and outcomes as Good.
- 23 % of the Alumni have rated course objectives and outcomes as Average.

Table 1: Percentage of responses given for course objectives and outcomes

Grades	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Average	Total
Percentage	0	27	50	23	100

Fig.1: Graphical representation of course objectives and outcomes feedback




## B) Analysis of the feedback given regarding the length of course material:

- 5 % of the Alumni have rated about length of course material as Outstanding.
- 50 % of the Alumni have rated about length of course material as Excellent.
- 27 % of the Alumni have rated about length of course material as Good.
- 18 % of the Alumni have rated about length of course material as Average.

Table 2: Percentage of responses given for the length of course material

Grades	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Average	Total
Percentage	5	50	27	18	100

## Fig.2: Graphical representation about length of course material feedback




## C) Analysis of the feedback given regarding Relevance of Course material:

- 5 % of the Alumni have rated relevance of course material as Outstanding.
- 50 % of the Alumni have rated relevance of course material as Excellent.
- 32 % of the Alumni have rated relevance of course material as Good.
- 14 % of the Alumni have rated relevance of course material as Average.

Table 3: Percentage of responses given for relevance of course material:

Grades	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Average	Total
Percentage	5	50	32	14	100

Fig.3: Graphical representation of Alumni feedback about relevance of course material



# **D**) Analysis of the feedback given by Alumni regarding the quality and up to date of Course material:

- 9 % of the Alumni have rated the 'quality and Up-to-date of course material' as Outstanding.
- 32 % of the Alumni have rated the 'quality and Up-to-date of course material' as Excellent.
- 50 % of the Alumni have rated the 'quality and Up-to-date of course material' as Good.
- 9% of the Alumni have rated the 'quality and Up-to-date of course material' as Average.

Table 4: Percentage of responses given regarding the quality and up to date of course materil:

Grade	es	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Average	Total
Percent	age	9	32	50	9	100

Fig.4: Graphical representation of feedback about the quality and up to date of course material




#### E) Analysis of the feedback given about organization of Material & Assignment of reading material:

- None of the Alumni have rated organization of Material & Assignment of reading material as Outstanding.
- 36 % of the Alumni have rated organization of Material & Assignment of reading material as Excellent.
- 45 % of the Alumni have rated organization of Material & Assignment of reading material as Good.
- 18 % of the Alumni have rated organization of Material & Assignment of reading material as Average.

Table 5: Percentage of responses given regarding organization of Material & Assignment of reading material

Grades	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Average	Total
Percentage	0	36	45	18	100

Fig.5: Graphical representation of Alumni feedback about organization of Material & Assignment of Reading material




## F) Analysis of the feedback given regarding Inputs provided by the course:

- 0 % of the Alumni have rated the inputs provided by the course as Outstanding.
- 41 % of the Alumni have rated the inputs provided by the course as Excellent.
- 41 % of the Alumni have rated the inputs provided by the course as Good.
- 18 % of the Alumni have rated the inputs provided by the course as Average.

Table 6: Percentage of responses given regarding inputs provided by the course

Grades	Good	Excellent	Average	Outstanding	Total
Percentage	0	41	41	18	100

Fig.6: Graphical representation of feedback given by alumni about the inputs provided by the course




# G) Analysis of the feedback about the course focus on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship:

- None of the Alumni have rated the focus of course on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship as Outstanding.
- 41 % of the Alumni have rated the focus of course on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship as Excellent.
- 41 % of the Alumni have rated the focus of course on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship as Good.
- 18 % of the Alumni have rated the focus of course on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship as Average.

Table 7: Percentage of responses given regarding focus on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship feedback given by Alumni

Grades	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Average	Total
Percentage	0	41	41	18	100

Fig.7: Graphical representation of the feedback given by alumni abut focus on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship



## H) Analysis of the feedback about the updating the understanding by course in the field:

- 9 % of the Alumni have rated 'updating the understanding by course in the field' as Outstanding.
- 68 % of the Alumni have rated 'updating the understanding by course in the field' as Excellent.
- 14 % of the Alumni have rated 'updating the understanding by course in the field' as Good.
- 9 % of the Alumni have rated 'updating the understanding by course in the field' as Average.

Table 8: Percentage of responses given regarding 'updating the understanding by course in the field'

Grades	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Average	Total
Percentage	9	68	14	9	100

Fig.8: Graphical representation of feedback provided by alumni regarding focus on skill Development/ Employability/ Entrepreneurship

