



Properties of Some Dynamic Partial Integrodifferential Equations on Time Scales

Deepak B. Pachpatte

Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad 431004, Maharashtra, India

pachpatte@gmail.com

Abstract. The main objective of this paper is to study some properties of new dynamic equations partial integrodifferential equations in two independent variables on time scales. The tools used in obtaining our results are application of Banach Fixed point theorem. We also used dynamic inequality with explicit estimates to study the properties of solution of dynamic partial integrodifferential equation.

Keywords. Explicit estimate; Integral inequality; Two variables; Time scales

MSC. 26E70; 34N05; 26D10

Received: February 20, 2017

Accepted: September 9, 2018

Copyright © 2018 Deepak B. Pachpatte. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

1. Introduction

In the recent years abundance of applications are stimulating the rapid development of dynamic equations on time scales. This has attracted and continuous to attract the considerable attention in the literature. The theory of time scale calculus was firstly initiated in 1990 by the German Mathematician Steafan Hilger [7] in his Ph.d dissertation. Recently, many authors have obtained results on various types of dynamic equations and its applications on time scales [1, 2]. In [6, 8] properties of some Voltera type equations are studied. Stability and convergence of dynamical systems is studied by authors in [9, 10]. Motivated by the scope of dynamic equations in this paper we have established some new dynamic integrodifferential equations in two independent variables.

Let \mathbb{R}^n denotes the real n -dimensional Euclidean space with appropriate norm $|\cdot|$. Let \mathbb{T}_1 and \mathbb{T}_2 be two time scales and $\Omega = \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$. The set of all rd -continuous function is denoted by C_{rd} . The partial delta derivaive of $p(x, y)$ for (x, y) with respect to x, y and xy is denoted by $p^{\Delta_1}(x, y)$, $p^{\Delta_2}(x, y)$ and $p^{\Delta_1\Delta_2}(x, y) = p^{\Delta_2\Delta_1}(x, y)$.

In this paper, we study the dynamic integrodifferential equation of the form

$$u^{\Delta_1\Delta_2}(x, y) = f(x, y, u(x, y), (Gu)(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)), \tag{1.1}$$

with the given conditions

$$u(x, x_0) = \alpha(x), u(y, y_0) = \beta(y), u(x_0, y_0) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

for $(x, y) \in \Omega$ where

$$(Gu)(x, y) = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y g(x, y, s, t, u(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s, \tag{1.3}$$

$$(Hu)(x, y) = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(x, y, s, t, u(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s, \tag{1.4}$$

for $f \in C_{rd}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in C_{rd}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha, \beta \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $(G0)(x, y) = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y g(x, y, s, t, 0) \Delta s \Delta t$ and $(H0)(x, y) = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(x, y, s, t, 0) \Delta t \Delta s$.

2. Preliminaries

Let G be a space of functions which satisfy the condition

$$|\Phi(x, y)|_1 \leq O(e_\lambda(x, y)), \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \Omega, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant. In space G , we define the norm

$$|\Phi|_G = \sup_{(x, y) \in \Omega} [|\Phi(x, y)|_1 e_{\ominus\lambda}(x, y)]. \tag{2.2}$$

The norm defined in (2.2) is a Banach Space. Then from condition (2.1) implies there exists a condition $N \geq 0$ such that

$$|\Phi(x, y)|_G \leq N e_\lambda(x, y). \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.3), we have

$$|\Phi|_G \leq N. \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to see that $u(x, y)$ is solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if it satisfies the equation

$$u(x, y) = \alpha(x) + \beta(y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u(s, t), (Gu)(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) \Delta s \Delta t. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, we give the lemma proved in [5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)u(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in T^k, \tag{2.6}$$

then

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_a(t, t_0), \quad \text{for all } t \in T^k. \tag{2.7}$$

The following theorem is proved in [12].

Lemma 2.2. Let $u, a \in C_{rd}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, $g(x, y, s, t) \in (\Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $h(x, y, x, t, \xi, \tau) \in (\Omega \times \Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $k \geq 0$ is a constant. If

$$u(x, y) \leq k + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y a(s, t)u(s, t)\Delta t\Delta s + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \left(\int_{s_0}^s \int_{t_0}^t g(s, t, \xi, \tau)u(\xi, \tau)\Delta \tau\Delta \xi \right) \Delta t\Delta s + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \left(\int_{s_0}^s \int_{t_0}^t (h(s, t, \xi, \tau, m, n)u(m, n)\Delta n\Delta m)\Delta \tau\Delta \xi \right) \Delta t\Delta s, \tag{2.8}$$

for $(x, y) \in \Omega$ then

$$u(x, y) \leq ke_{A(x,y)}(x, x_0), \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$A(x, y) = a(x, y)u(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y g(x, y, \xi, \tau)u(\xi, \tau)\Delta \tau\Delta \xi + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \left(\int_{s_0}^s \int_{t_0}^t h(x, y, \xi, \tau, m, n)u(m, n)\Delta n\Delta m \right). \tag{2.10}$$

Now, we prove the inequality which is useful in studying the properties of solution of (1.1)-(1.2).

Theorem 2.3. Let $u, p, q, r \in C_{rd}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$u(x, y) \leq k + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y p(s, t) \left[u(s, t) + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t q(\xi, \tau)u(\xi, \tau)\Delta \tau\Delta \xi + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b r(\xi, \tau)u(\xi, \tau)\Delta \tau\Delta \xi \right] \Delta t\Delta s, \text{ for } (x, y) \in \Omega, \tag{2.11}$$

where $k \geq 0$ is a constant. If

$$c = \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b r(\xi, \tau)e_{\int_{x_0}^x [p(x,t)+q(x,t)]\Delta t}(x, x_0) < 1, \tag{2.12}$$

then

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{k}{1-c} e_{\int_{x_0}^x [p(x,t)+q(x,t)]\Delta t}(x, x_0). \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Define a function $v(x, y)$ by right hand side of (2.13) $v(x_0, y) = v(x, y_0) = c$, $u(x, y) \leq v(x, y)$.

$$v^{\Delta_2\Delta_1}(x, y) = p(x, y) \left[u(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y q(s, t)u(s, t)\Delta t\Delta s + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b r(s, t)u(s, t)\Delta t\Delta s \right] \leq p(x, y) \left[v(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y q(s, t)v(s, t)\Delta t\Delta s + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b r(s, t)v(s, t)\Delta t\Delta s \right]. \tag{2.14}$$

Define a function $f(x, y)$ by

$$f(x, y) = v(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y q(s, t)v(s, t)\Delta t\Delta s + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b r(s, t)v(s, t)\Delta t\Delta s. \tag{2.15}$$

We get

$$f(x_0, y) = f(x, y_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b r(s, t)v(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s \\
 &= M \quad (\text{say}).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.16}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y) &= v^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y) + q(x, y)v(x, y) \\
 &\leq p(x, y)v(x, y) + q(x, y)v(x, y) \\
 &\leq p(x, y)f(x, y) + q(x, y)f(x, y) \\
 &= f(x, y)[p(x, y) + q(x, y)].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.17}$$

By keeping x fixed in (2.17) and $y = t$ and delta integrating from y_0 to y , $f^{\Delta_1}(x, y) = 0$ and $f(x, y)$ is non decreasing. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{\Delta_1}(x, y) &\leq \int_{y_0}^y [p(x, t) + q(x, t)]f(x, t) \Delta t \\
 &\leq f(x, y) \int_{y_0}^y [p(x, t) + q(x, t)] \Delta t.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.18}$$

Let $Q(x, y) = \int_{y_0}^y [p(x, t) + q(x, t)] \Delta t$, then from (2.18)

$$f^{\Delta_1}(x, y) \leq f(x, y)Q(x, y).
 \tag{2.19}$$

Now treating y fixed and applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$f(x, y) \leq M e_{Q(x, y)}(x, x_0).
 \tag{2.20}$$

From (2.18) and (2.20), we have

$$M \leq \frac{k}{1 - c}.
 \tag{2.21}$$

Using (2.20) and (2.21) and the fact that $v(x, y) \leq f(x, y)$, we get (2.13). □

3. Existence and Uniqueness

Now, we give existence and uniqueness of (1.1)-(1.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose the function f, g, h in (1.1)-(1.4) satisfies the conditions:*

$$|f(x, y, u, v, w) - f(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq k(x, y)[|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}| + |w - \bar{w}|],
 \tag{3.1}$$

$$|g(x, y, s, t, u) - g(x, y, s, t, \bar{u})| \leq l(x, y, s, t)[|u - \bar{u}|],
 \tag{3.2}$$

$$|h(x, y, s, t, v) - h(x, y, s, t, \bar{v})| \leq m(x, y, s, t)[|v - \bar{v}|],
 \tag{3.3}$$

where $k(x, y) \in C_{rd}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, $m(x, y, s, t), l(x, y, s, t) \in C_{rd}(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

For λ as in (2.1)

(i) *there exists a non negative constant $c < 1$ such that*

$$Q(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y Q(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s \leq c e_\lambda(x, y),
 \tag{3.4}$$

$$Q(x, y) = k(x, y) \left[e_\lambda(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y l(x, y, s, t) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta s \Delta t \right]$$

$$+ \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(x, y, s, t) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta s \Delta t \Big], \tag{3.5}$$

(ii) there exists non-negative constant η such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\alpha(x)| + |\beta(y)| + |f(x, y, u(x_0, y_0), (G0)(x, y), (H0)(x, y))| \\ & + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(x, y, u(x_0, y_0), (G0)(x, y), (H0)(x, y)) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta s \Delta t, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

then the initial boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution on Ω .

Proof. Let $u \in G$ and we define the operator S by

$$(Su)(x, y) = |\alpha(x)| + |\beta(y)| + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(x, y, u(s, t), (Gu)(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)) \Delta s \Delta t. \tag{3.7}$$

By delta differentiating (3.7) with respect to x and y we have

$$(Su)^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y) = \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(x, y, u(s, t), (Gu)(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)) \Delta s \Delta t. \tag{3.8}$$

We show that Su maps G into itself and Su is rd-continuous on Ω

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Su)(x, y)| + |(Su)^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y)| \\ & \leq \alpha(x) + \beta(y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |f(s, t, u(s, t), (Gu)(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) - f(s, t, u(x_0, y_0), (G0)(s, t), (H0)(s, t))| \\ & \quad + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |f(s, t, u(x_0, y_0), (G0)(s, t), (H0)(s, t))| \Delta t \Delta s + |f(x, y, u(x, y), (Gu)(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)) \\ & \quad - f(x, y, u(x_0, y_0), (G0)(x, y), (H0)(x, y))| + |f(x, y, u(x_0, y_0), (G0)(x, y), (H0)(x, y))| \\ & \leq \eta e_\lambda(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y k(s, t) \left\{ [|u(s, t)|_1] + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t l(s, t, m, n) [|u(m, n)|_1] \Delta n \Delta m \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(s, t, m, n) [|u(m, n)|_1] \Delta t \Delta s \right\} \Delta y \Delta x \\ & \quad + k(x, y) \left[|u(x, y)|_1 + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y l(x, y, s, t) |u(s, t)|_1 \Delta t \Delta s + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(x, y, s, t) |u(s, t)|_1 \Delta t \Delta s \right] \\ & \leq \eta e_\lambda(x, y) + |u|_G \{ k(x, y) \left[e_\lambda(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y l(x, y, s, t) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(x, y, s, t) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s \right] \right\} \\ & \quad + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \{ k(s, t) \left[e_\lambda(s, t) + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t l(s, t, m, n) e_\lambda(m, n) \Delta n \Delta m \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(s, t, m, n) e_\lambda(m, n) \Delta n \Delta m \right] \right\} \Delta t \Delta s \\ & \leq \eta e_\lambda(x, y) + N \left\{ Q(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y Q(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s \right\} \\ & \leq [\eta + Nc] e_\lambda(x, y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.9) it follows that $Su \in G$ which proves that S maps G into itself.

Now, we verify that S is a contraction map. Let $u(x, y), v(x, y) \in G$.

From (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(Su)(x, y) - (Sv)(x, y)| + |(Su)^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y) - (Sv)^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y)| \\
 & \leq \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |f(s, t, u(s, t), (Gu)(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) - f(s, t, v(s, t), (Gv)(s, t), (Hv)(s, t))| \Delta t \Delta s \\
 & \quad + |f(x, y, u(x, y), (Gu)(x, y), (Hu)(x, y)) - f(x, y, v(x, y), (Gv)(x, y), (Hv)(x, y))| \\
 & \leq \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \{k(s, t) [|u(s, t) - v(s, t)|_1] + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t l(s, t, m, n) [|u(m, n) - v(m, n)|_1] \Delta n \Delta m \\
 & \quad + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(s, t, m, n) [|u(m, n) - v(m, n)|_1] \Delta n \Delta m \} \Delta t \Delta s + k(x, y) \{ |u(x, y) - v(x, y)|_G \\
 & \quad + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y l(x, y, s, t) [|u(s, t) - v(s, t)|_1] \Delta t \Delta s + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(x, y, s, t) [|u(s, t) - v(s, t)|_1] \Delta t \Delta s \} \\
 & \leq |u - v|_G k(x, y) \{ e_\lambda(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y l(x, y, s, t) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(x, y, s, t) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s \} \\
 & \quad + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y k(s, t) \{ e_\lambda(s, t) + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t l(s, t, m, n) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta n \Delta m \\
 & \quad + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m(s, t, m, n) e_\lambda(s, t) \Delta n \Delta m \} \Delta t \Delta s \\
 & = |u - v|_G \left\{ Q(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y Q(s, t) \Delta t \Delta s \right\} \\
 & \leq c |u - v|_G e_\lambda(x, y). \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.10), we have

$$|Su - Sv|_G \leq c |u - v|_G. \tag{3.11}$$

Since $c < 1$, it follows from Banach Fixed point Theorem S has a unique fixed point in G . The fixed point of G is a solution of (1.1) and (1.2). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. Suppose function f satisfies (3.1), and g, h satisfies the condition

$$|g(x, y, s, t, u) - g(x, y, s, t, \bar{u})| \leq l_1(x, y) m_1(s, t) [|u - \bar{u}|], \tag{3.12}$$

$$|h(x, y, s, t, u) - h(x, y, s, t, \bar{u})| \leq l_2(x, y) m_2(s, t) [|u - \bar{u}|], \tag{3.13}$$

where $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 \in C_{rd}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Let $q(x, y) = \max\{k(x, y), l_1(x, y), l_2(x, y)\}$. Then initial boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at most one solution on Ω .

Proof. Let $u_1(x, y)$ and $u_2(x, y)$ be any two solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and $w(x, y) = |u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)|$ then by hypotheses, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(x, y) & \leq \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |f(s, t, (Gu_1)(s, t), (Hu_1)(s, t)) - f(s, t, (Gu_2)(s, t), (Hu_2)(s, t))| \Delta t \Delta s \\
 & \leq \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y k(s, t) \left[|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t l_1(\xi, \tau) m_1(\xi, \tau) |u_1(\xi, \tau) - u_2(\xi, \tau)| \Delta \tau \Delta \xi \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b l_2(\xi, \tau) m_2(\xi, \tau) |u_1(\xi, \tau) - u_2(\xi, \tau)| \Delta\tau \Delta\xi \Big] \Delta t \Delta s \\
 & \leq \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y q(s, t) \left[|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t m_1(\xi, \tau) |u_1(\xi, \tau) - u_2(\xi, \tau)| \Delta\tau \Delta\xi \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m_2(\xi, \tau) |u_1(\xi, \tau) - u_2(\xi, \tau)| \Delta\tau \Delta\xi \right] \Delta t \Delta s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to (3.14), where $k = 0$, we have

$$|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| \leq 0, \tag{3.15}$$

which implies that $u_1(x, y) = u_2(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Therefore, there is atmost one solution of (1.1) and (1.2) on Ω . □

4. Properties of Solution

Let $u(x, y) \in C_{rd}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $u^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y)$ exists and satisfies the inequality

$$|u^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y) - f(x, y, u(x, y), (Gu)(x, y), (Hu)(x, y))| \leq \epsilon,$$

for a given $\epsilon \geq 0$, where (1.2) holds. Then, we say that $u(x, y)$ is an ϵ -approximate solution of (1.1) with (1.2).

In the following theorem, we obtain the estimate the difference between two approximate solution of (1.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the functions f, g, h in (1.1) satisfy the conditions (3.1), (3.12) and (3.13).*

Let $u_i(x, y)$, $(i = 1, 2)$ be ϵ_i approximate solution of (1.1) with

$$u_i(x, x_0) = \alpha_i(x), \quad u_i(y_0, y) = \beta_i(y), \quad u_i(x_0, y_0) = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, such that

$$|\alpha_1(x) - \alpha_2(x) + \beta_1(y) - \beta_2(y)| \leq \eta, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\eta \geq 0$ is a constant. Then

$$|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| \leq \frac{\epsilon a b + \eta}{1 - \bar{c}} e^{\int_{x_0}^x [q(x,t) + m_1(x,t)] \Delta t} (x, x_0), \tag{4.3}$$

where $(x, y) \in \Omega$, and

$$\bar{c} = \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m_2(\xi, \tau) e^{\int_{x_0}^x [q(x,t) + m_1(x,t)] \Delta t} (x, x_0) < 1. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Since $u_i(x, y)$, $(i = 1, 2)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega$ are a ϵ approximate solution of (1.1) with (4.1), we have

$$|u_i^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y) - f(x, y, u_i(x, y), (Gu_i)(x, y), (Hu_i)(x, y))| \leq \epsilon_i. \tag{4.5}$$

Integrating both sides of (4.5) on Ω and (4.1), we get

$$\epsilon_i x y \geq \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |u_i^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(s, t) - f(s, t, u_i(s, t), (Gu_i)(s, t), (Hu_i)(s, t))| \Delta t \Delta s$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left| \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \{u_i^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(s, t) - f(s, t, u_i(s, t), (Gu_i)(s, t), (Hu_i)(s, t))\} \Delta t \Delta s \right. \\ &= \left| u_i(x, y) - \alpha_i(x) - \beta_i(y) - \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_i(s, t), (Gu_i)(s, t), (Hu_i)(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.6) and using the elementary inequalities

$$|v - z| \leq |v| + |z|, \quad |v| - |z| \leq |v - z|, \tag{4.7}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(xy) &\geq \left| u_1(x, y) - [\alpha_1(x) + \beta_1(y)] - \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_1(s, t), (Gu_1)(s, t), (Hu_1)(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s \right| \\ &\quad + \left| u_2(x, y) - [\alpha_2(x) + \beta_2(y)] - \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_2(s, t), (Gu_2)(s, t), (Hu_2)(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s \right| \\ &\geq \left| \left\{ |u_1(x, y) - [\alpha_1(x) + \beta_1(y)] - \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_1(s, t), (Gu_1)(s, t), (Hu_1)(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left\{ u_2(x, y) - [\alpha_2(x) + \beta_2(y)] - \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_2(s, t), (Gu_2)(s, t), (Hu_2)(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s \right\} \right| \\ &\geq |u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| - |[\alpha_1(x) + \beta_1(y)] + [\alpha_2(x) + \beta_2(y)]| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_1(s, t), (Gu_1)(s, t), (Hu_1)(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_2(s, t), (Gu_2)(s, t), (Hu_2)(s, t)) \Delta t \Delta s \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Let $w(x, y) = |u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)|$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega$, we get from (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y) &\leq \epsilon xy + |\alpha_1(x) - \alpha_2(x) + \beta_1(y) - \beta_2(y)| \left| \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_1(s, t), (Gu_1)(s, t), (Hu_1)(s, t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y f(s, t, u_2(s, t), (Gu_2)(s, t), (Hu_2)(s, t)) \right| \Delta t \Delta s \\ &\leq |\epsilon st + \eta| + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y q(s, t) \left[w(s, t) + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t m_1(\xi, \tau) w(\xi, \tau) \Delta \tau \Delta \xi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m_2(\xi, \tau) w(\xi, \tau) \Delta \tau \Delta \xi \right] \Delta t \Delta s. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Now an application of Theorem 2.3, we get (4.3). □

Remark 4.1. If $u_1(x, y)$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) then we have $\epsilon_1 = 0$ and from (4.3), we have $u_2(x, y) \rightarrow u_1(x, y)$ as $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\eta \rightarrow 0$. If we have $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0, \alpha_1(x) = \alpha_2(x), \beta_1(y) = \beta_2(y)$ in (4.3). Then uniqueness of solution (1.1)-(1.2) can be established.

Now, we consider (1.1)-(1.2) together with the following

$$v^{\Delta_2 \Delta_1}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x, y, v(x, y), (Gv)(x, y), (Hv)(x, y)), \tag{4.10}$$

$$v(x, x_0) = \bar{\alpha}(x), \quad v(y_0, y) = \bar{\beta}(y), \quad v(x_0, y_0) = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

where G, H are as defined in (1.3) and (1.4).

Now, we prove the conditions concerning the closeness of solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and (4.10)-(4.11).

Theorem 4.2. Suppose function f, g, h in satisfy the conditions (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and there exists constant $\bar{\epsilon} \geq 0$ and $\bar{\eta} \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x, y, u, v, w) - \bar{f}(x, y, u, v, w)| \leq \bar{\epsilon}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$|\alpha(x) - \bar{\alpha}(x) + \beta(y) - \bar{\beta}(y)| \leq \bar{\eta}, \tag{4.13}$$

where f, α, β and $\bar{f}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ as in (1.1)-(1.2) and (4.10)-(4.11). Let $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ be respectively the solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.2) and (4.10)-(4.11). Then

$$|u(x, y) - v(x, y)| \leq \frac{\bar{\epsilon}ab + \bar{\eta}}{1 - \bar{c}} e^{\int_{x_0}^x [q(x,t) + m_1(x,t)] \Delta t} (x, x_0), \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \Omega. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Let $w(x, y) = |u(x, y) - v(x, y)|$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Since $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) and (4.10)-(4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y) &\leq |\alpha(x) - \bar{\alpha}(x) + \beta(y) - \bar{\beta}(y)| + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |f(x, y, u(s, t), (Gu)(s, t), (Hu)(s, t)) \\ &\quad - f(x, y, v(s, t), (Gv)(s, t), (Hv)(s, t))| \Delta t \Delta s \\ &\quad + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |f(x, y, v(s, t), (Gv)(s, t), (Hv)(s, t)) \\ &\quad - \bar{f}(x, y, v(s, t), (Gv)(s, t), (Hv)(s, t))| \Delta t \Delta s \\ &\leq \eta + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y k(s, t) [|w(s, t)| + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t l_1(\xi, \tau) m_1(\xi, \tau) |w(s, t)| \Delta \tau \Delta \xi \\ &\quad + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b l_2(\xi, \tau) m_2(\xi, \tau) |w(s, t)| \Delta \tau \Delta \xi] \Delta t \Delta s + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \bar{\epsilon} \Delta t \Delta s \\ &\leq (\bar{\epsilon}ab + \bar{\eta}) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y q(s, t) \left[|w(s, t)| + \int_{x_0}^s \int_{y_0}^t m_1(\xi, \tau) |w(s, t)| \Delta \tau \Delta \xi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{x_0}^a \int_{y_0}^b m_2(\xi, \tau) |w(s, t)| \Delta \tau \Delta \xi \right] \Delta t \Delta s. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Now applying Theorem 2.3 to (4.15) we get (4.14). □

Remark 4.2. The result given in above Theorem relates the solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) and (4.10)-(4.11) in the sense that if f is close to \bar{f} , α is close to $\bar{\alpha}$, β is close to $\bar{\beta}$ then the solution to problems (1.1)-(1.2) and (4.10)-(4.11) are close together.

Acknowledgement

This Research is supported by Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India, No: SB/S4/MS:861/13.

Competing Interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

The author wrote, read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] R. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and S. Saker, *Dynamic Inequalities on Time Scales*, Springer (2014), DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-11002-8.
- [2] G. Anastassiou, *Frontiers in Time Scales and Inequalities*, World Scientific Publishing Company (2015), DOI: 10.1142/9711.
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhauser, Boston — Berlin (2001), DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0201-1.
- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhauser, Boston — Berlin (2003), DOI: 10.1007/978-0-8176-8230-9.
- [5] E. Akin-Bohner, M. Bohner and F. Akin, Pachpatte inequalities on time scales, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **7**(4) (2006), 1 – 8.
- [6] J. Gu and F. Meng, Some new nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm type dynamic integral inequalities on time scales, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **245** (2014), 235 – 242, DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2014.07.056.
- [7] S. Hilger, Analysis on Measure chain-A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results. Math.* **18**(1) (1990), 18 – 56, DOI: 10.1007/BF03323153.
- [8] F. Meng and J. Shao, Some new Volterra-Fredholm type dynamic integral inequalities on time scales, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **223** (2013), 444 – 451, DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2013.08.025.
- [9] E. Messina and A. Vecchio, Stability and convergence of solutions to Volterra integral equations on time scales, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2015** (2015), Art. 612156, 6 pages, DOI: 10.1155/2015/612156.
- [10] E. Messina, E. Russo and A. Vecchio, Volterra integral equations on time scales: stability under constant perturbations via Liapunov direct method, *Ric. Mat.* **64** (2015), 345 – 355, DOI: 10.1007/511587-01-0243-4.
- [11] D.B. Pachpatte, Some dynamic inequalities applicable to partial integrodifferential equations on time scales, *Arch. Math.* **51**(3) (2015), 143 – 152, DOI: 10.5817/AM2015-3-143.
- [12] D.B. Pachpatte, Estimates of certain iterated dynamic inequalities on time scales, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **13**(2) (2014), 353 – 362, DOI: 10.1007/s12346-014-0120-1.